

Public Notice Comments

Response	Number of Responses	Issues Identified
<i>Completely opposed</i>	31	- Too close to Campbell/Massey Schools - Too close to Megaw Montessori Preschool - Does not want cannabis store in their neighbourhood
<i>Accept if many features were different</i>		
<i>Accept if one or two features were different</i>		
<i>I support this proposal</i>	3	

The following is a summary of issues identified through public consultation, listed in order of magnitude (starting with most numerous):

1. **Proximity to Campbell Collegiate or Massey Elementary School**

Administration's Response:

- The Zoning Bylaw only restricts Retail Cannabis when within 60 metres of a school.
- Massey School is approximately 260 metres from the proposed Retail Cannabis.
- Campbell Collegiate is approximately 340 metres from the proposed Retail Cannabis.

Administration recognizes that people have concerns regarding the proximity to these other uses in the community, it is important to highlight that the only reason why this application is a discretionary use is because the proposed cannabis retail store is within 60m of the pre-school. Therefore, the concerns related to proximity other uses are outside the scope of the discretionary use review.

2. **Proximity to Megaw Montessori Preschool**

Administration's Response:

The Administration's assessment of the proximity between these two uses is the subject of the main report.

3. **Cannabis within Neighbourhood**

Administration's Response:

- 2401 Grant Road is zoned MH – Mixed High-Rise Zone, in which Retail Cannabis is a permitted use.
- City Council adopted amendments to the Zoning Bylaw in November 2021 to relax the cannabis zoning regulations including making 'Retail Trade, Cannabis' a permitted use in

- B2 -

any mixed-use, industrial zones or direct control districts that permit general retail ('Retail Trade, Shop'). While residents may have concerns with a cannabis retail store in a retail location embedded within a neighbourhood, the use itself is not restricted in this context. Therefore, this concern is outside the scope of the discretionary use review.